WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

(2)

	Fel	lipe De	Jesus Gomez	Case Number:	<u>13-1887M</u>		
	ordance v re establ		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a (detention hearing has t	peen submitted. I conclude that the following		
X	•	y clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ending trial in this case.					
X		epondera this case		serious flight risk and re	equire the detention of the defendant pending		
			PART I FIN	DINGS OF FACT			
	(1) 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense the have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is						
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.	S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
			an offense for which the maximum ser	ntence is life imprisonm	nent or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum term	of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after the described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)	defendant had been co -(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor victim device (as those terms are defined in s register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250.	n or that involves the pection 921), or any other	ossession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.					
	(3)	18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.					
	(4)	reason	is Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebutt ably assure the safety of (an)other pers d this presumption.	able presumption that con(s) and the commun	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not		
			Alternati	ve Findings			
X	(1)	18 U.S	.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is probable cau	se to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imprisonr 841(a)(1)	ment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 952, 960 and		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2	332(b).			
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594, for which	a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.		

Alternative Findings

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

an offense involving a minor victim under section

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

²Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. $\S1201$, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

Case 2:13-cr-01024-ROS Document 4 Filed 07/15/13 Page 2 of 3

×	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
X	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
	(4)	
	(1)	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
		evidence as to danger that:
×	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of10 years incarceration and a maximum oflife
	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-cr-01024-ROS Document 4 Filed 07/15/13 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:					
	Defense granted leave to reopen if new evidence or information becomes available.					

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

United States Magistrate Judge

DATE: <u>July 15, 2013</u>

Page 3 of 3